

Artificial Intelligence Policy

Date of Approval:	01.10.2025
Date of Next Review:	01.04.2028
Signed: Executive Headteacher	M Talbot
Signed: Chair of Governors	M Hall

Document History

Date	Change Reference	Summary of Change

Contents

1. Aims and scope	4
1.1 Definitions	4
2. Legislation	4
3. Regulatory principles	5
4. Roles and responsibilities	5
4.1 Governing board	5
4.2 Head of School	6
4.3 Data protection officer (DPO)	6
4.4 Designated safeguarding lead (DSL)	6
4.5 All staff	6
4.6 Pupils	7
5. Staff and governors' use of AI	7
5.1 Approved use of Al	7
5.2 Process for approval	7
5.3 Data protection and privacy	7
5.4 Intellectual property	8
5.5 Bias	8
5.6 Raising concerns	8
5.7 Ethical and responsible use	8
6. Educating pupils about Al	9
7. Use of AI by pupils	9
8. Staff training	9
9. Referral to our child protection and safeguarding policy	9
10. Breach of this policy	10
10.1 By staff	10
10.2 By governors	10
10.3 By pupils	10
11. Monitoring and transparency	10
12. Links with other policies	11
Appendix 1: Al tools designed for educational use	12

1. Aims and scope

In our Federation, we understand the valuable potential that artificial intelligence (AI), including generative AI, holds for schools. For example, it can be used to enhance pedagogical methods, customise learning experiences and progress educational innovation.

We are also aware of the risks posed by AI, including data protection breaches, copyright issues, ethical complications, safeguarding and compliance with wider legal obligations.

Therefore, the aim of this policy is to establish guidelines for the ethical, secure and responsible use of AI technologies across our whole school community.

This policy covers the use of AI tools by school staff, governors and pupils. This includes generative chatbots such as ChatGPT and Google Gemini (please note, this list is not exhaustive).

This policy aims to:

- Support the use of AI to enhance teaching and learning
- Support staff to explore AI solutions to improve efficiency and reduce workload
- Prepare staff, governors and pupils for a future in which AI technology will be an integral part
- 2 Promote equity in education by using AI to address learning gaps and provide personalised support
- Ensure that AI technologies are used ethically and responsibly by all staff, governors and pupils
- 2 Protect the privacy and personal data of staff, governors and pupils in compliance with the UK GDPR

1.1 Definitions

This policy refers to both 'open' and 'closed' generative AI tools. These are defined as follows:

- **> Open generative AI tools** are accessible and modifiable by anyone. They may store, share or learn from the information entered into them, including personal or sensitive information
- > Closed generative AI tools are generally more secure, as external parties cannot access the data you input

2. Legislation

This policy reflects good practice guidelines/recommendations in the following publications:

- ➤ <u>Al regulation white paper</u>, published by the Department for Science, Innovation and Technology, and the Office for Artificial Intelligence
- ➤ <u>Generative artificial intelligence (AI) and data protection in schools</u>, published by the Department for Education (DfE)

This policy also meets the requirements of the:

➤ UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) — the EU GDPR was incorporated into UK legislation, with some amendments, by The Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020

3. Regulatory principles

We follow the 5 principles set out in the AI regulation white paper.

REGULATORY PRINCIPLE	WE WILL
Safety, security and robustness	 Ensure that AI solutions are secure and safe for users and protect users' data Ensure we can identify and rectify bias or error Anticipate threats such as hacking
Appropriate transparency and explainability	Be transparent about our use of AI, and make sure we understand the suggestions it makes
Fairness	 Only use AI solutions that are ethically appropriate, equitable and free from prejudice in particular, we will fully consider any bias relating to small groups and protected characteristics before using AI, monitor bias closely and correct problems where appropriate
Accountability and governance	 Ensure that the governing board and staff have clear roles and responsibilities in relation to the monitoring, evaluation, maintenance and use of AI
Contestability and redress	 Make sure that staff are empowered to correct and overrule AI suggestions – decisions should be made by the user of AI, not the technology Allow and respond appropriately to concerns and complaints where AI may have caused error resulting in adverse consequences or unfair treatment

4. Roles and responsibilities

4.1 Governing board

The governing board will:

- > Take overall responsibility for monitoring this policy and holding the Head of School to account for its implementation in line with the school's AI strategy
- > Ensure the Head of School is/are appropriately supported to make informed decisions regarding the effective and ethical use of AI in the school
- Adhere to the guidelines below to protect data when using generative AI tools:

- Seek advice from the data protection officer / IT and the designated safeguarding lead, as appropriate
- Check whether they are using an open or closed generative AI tool
- Ensure there is no identifiable information included in what they put into open generative AI tools
- Acknowledge or reference the use of generative AI in their work
- Fact-check results to make sure the information is accurate

4.2 Head of School

The Head of School will:

- Take responsibility for the day-to-day leadership and management of AI use in the school
- ➤ Liaise with the data protection officer (DPO) to ensure that the use of AI is in accordance with data protection legislation
- ➤ Liaise with the DSL to ensure that the use of AI is in accordance with Keeping Children Safe in Education and the school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- > Ensure that the guidance set out in this policy is followed by all staff
- > Review and update this AI policy as appropriate, and at least annually
- > Ensure staff are appropriately trained in the effective use and potential risks of Al
- Make sure pupils are taught about the effective use and potential risks of Al
- > Sign off on approved uses of AI, or new AI tools, taking into account advice from the DPO, and data protection impact assessments

4.3 Data protection officer (DPO)

The data protection officer (DPO) is responsible for monitoring and advising on our compliance with data protection law, including in relation to the use of AI.

Our DPO is Janet Jago and is contactable via The School Office.

4.4 Designated safeguarding lead (DSL)

The DSL is responsible for monitoring and advising on our compliance with safeguarding requirements including in relation to the use of AI, such as:

- > Being aware of new and emerging safeguarding threats posed by AI
- > Updating and delivering staff training on AI safeguarding threats
- > Responding to safeguarding incidents in line with Keeping Children Safe in Education (KCSIE) and the school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- > Understanding the filtering and monitoring systems and processes in place on school devices

Details of each school's designated safeguarding lead (DSL) are set out in their child protection and safeguarding policies.

4.5 All staff

As part of our aim to reduce staff workload while improving outcomes for our pupils, we encourage staff to explore opportunities to meet these objectives through the use of AI tools. Any use of AI must follow the guidelines set out in this policy.

When using generative AI tools, staff must:

- > Ensure there is no identifiable information included in what they put into generative AI tools such as pupil names or the school/federation name
- > Seek advice from the data protection officer / IT as appropriate
- > Report safeguarding concerns to the DSL in line with our school's child protection and safeguarding policy
- Acknowledge or reference the use of generative AI in their work
- > Fact-check results to make sure the information is accurate

All staff play a role in ensuring that pupils understand the potential benefits and risks of using AI in their learning. All of our staff have a responsibility to guide pupils in critically evaluating AI-generated information and understanding its limitations.

4.6 Pupils

Pupils must:

> Follow the guidelines set out in section 7 of this policy ('Use of AI by pupils')

5. Staff and governors' use of AI

5.1 Approved use of AI

We are committed to helping staff and governors reduce their workload. Generative AI tools can make certain written tasks quicker and easier to complete, but cannot replace the judgement and knowledge of a human expert.

Whatever tools or resources are used to produce plans, policies or documents, the quality and content of the final document remains the professional responsibility of the person who produced it.

Any plans, policies or documents created using AI should be clearly attributed. Any member of staff or governor using an AI-generated plan, policy or document should only share the AI-generated content with other members of staff or governors for use if they are confident of the accuracy of the information, as the content remains the professional responsibility of the person who produced it.

Always consider whether AI is the right tool to use. Just because the school has approved its use doesn't mean it will always be appropriate.

Al should not be used in front of the children unless it is a requirement within a curriculum scheme of work that the school subscribes to.

Staff should consider using AI tools specifically designed for educational use (see Appendix 1) however other AI tools are permitted for use as long as staff are following the guidance in this policy.

5.2 Process for approval

Staff are welcome to suggest new ways of using AI to improve pupil outcomes and reduce workload. Staff should contact the Head of School to discuss any ideas they may have with regards to using AI, so the Head of School can take the suggestions forward if they deem it to be a satisfactory new method of working.

The Head of School is responsible for signing off on approved uses of AI, or new AI tools, taking into account advice from the DPO and data protection impact assessments.

5.3 Data protection and privacy

To ensure that personal and sensitive data remains secure, no one will be permitted to enter such data into unauthorised generative AI tools or chatbots.

If personal and/or sensitive data is entered into an unauthorised generative AI tool, the Federation will treat this as a data breach and will follow the personal data breach procedure outlined in our data protection policy.

5.4 Intellectual property

Most generative AI tools use inputs submitted by users to train and refine their models.

Pupils own the intellectual property (IP) rights to original content they create. This is likely to include anything that shows working out or is beyond multiple choice questions.

Pupils' work must not be used by staff to train generative AI models without appropriate consent or exemption to copyright.

Exemptions to copyright are limited – we will seek legal advice if we are unsure as to whether we are acting within the law.

5.5 Bias

We are aware that AI tools can perpetuate existing biases, particularly towards protected characteristics including sex, race and disability. For this reason, critical thought must be applied to all outputs of authorised AI applications. This means fact and sense-checking the output.

We will ensure we can identify and rectify bias or error by training staff in this area.

We also regularly review our use of AI to identify and correct any biases that may arise.

If parents/carers or pupils have any concerns or complaints about potential unfair treatment or other negative outcomes as a consequence of AI use, these will be dealt with through our usual complaints procedure.

5.6 Raising concerns

We encourage staff and governors to speak to the Head of School in the first instance if they have any concerns about a proposed use of AI, or the use of AI that may have resulted in errors that lead to adverse consequences or unfair treatment.

Safeguarding concerns may arise from the use of generative AI, for example pupils making disclosures through what they are inputting into AI, inappropriate or risky content being generated or personal details being shared. Any concerns must be reported immediately to the DSL in accordance with each school's child protection and safeguarding policy.

5.7 Ethical and responsible use

We will always:

- > Use generative AI tools ethically and responsibly
- > Remember the principles set out in our school's equality policy when using generative AI tools
- > Consider whether the tool has real-time internet access, or access to information up to a certain point in time, as this may impact the accuracy of the output
- > Fact and sense-check the output before relying on it

Staff and governors must not:

- > Generate content to impersonate, bully or harass another person
- > Generate explicit or offensive content
- Input offensive, discriminatory or inappropriate content as a prompt

6. Educating pupils about AI

In our Federation, we acknowledge that pupils benefit from a knowledge-rich curriculum that allows them to become well-informed users of technology and understand its impact on society. Strong foundational knowledge will ensure that pupils develop the right skills to make the best use of generative AI.

7. Use of AI by pupils

We recognise that AI has many uses to help pupils learn.

Pupils may use AI tools:

- As a research tool to help them find out about new topics and ideas
- > When specifically studying and discussing AI in schoolwork, for example in IT lessons or art homework about AI-generated images

All Al-generated content must be properly attributed and appropriate for the pupils' age and educational needs.

Al may also lend itself to cheating and plagiarism. To mitigate this, pupils may not use Al tools:

- > During assessments
- To write their homework, where Al-generated text is presented as their own work
- > To complete their homework, where AI is used to answer questions set and is presented as their own work (for example, maths calculations)

This list of AI misuse is not exhaustive.

Where AI tools have been used as a source of information, pupils should reference their use of AI. The reference must show the name of the AI source and the date the content was generated.

Pupils must consider what is ethical and appropriate in their use of AI and must not:

- > Generate content to impersonate, bully or harass another person
- ➤ Generate or share explicit or offensive content, including, but not limited to, generating inappropriate or sexualised images of pupils
- Input offensive, discriminatory or inappropriate content as a prompt

8. Staff training

Through annual safeguarding and online safety training, staff will receive training on AI safeguarding threats. Training in other areas linked to AI such as the use of AI to support teaching and learning will be given as and when training needs are identified.

9. Referral to our child protection and safeguarding policy

The school is aware that the use of generative AI may in some circumstances lead to safeguarding concerns including, but not limited to:

- > Sexual grooming
- > Sexual harassment
- > Sexual extortion

- > Child sexual abuse/exploitation material
- > Harmful content
- > Harmful advertisements and promotions
- Bullying

Where there are safeguarding concerns arising from the use of generative AI, a report must be made to the DSL immediately.

Any such incident will be dealt with according to the procedures set out in the school's child protection and safeguarding policy and child protection referral process

10. Breach of this policy

10.1 By staff

Breach of this policy by staff will be dealt with in line with our staff code of conduct.

Where disciplinary action is appropriate, it may be taken whether the breach occurs:

- > During or outside of working hours
- > On an individual's own device or a school device
- > At home, at school or from a remote working location

Staff members will be required to co-operate with any investigation into a suspected breach of this policy. This may involve providing us with access to:

- > The generative AI application in question (whether or not it is one authorised by the school)
- > Any relevant passwords or login details

You must report any breach of this policy, either by you or by another member of staff, to the Head of School immediately.

10.2 By governors

Breach of this policy by governors will be handled by the chair of governors who will decide on appropriate action following an investigation.

10.3 By pupils

Any breach of this policy by a pupil will be dealt with in line with our behaviour policy.

11. Monitoring and transparency

Al technology, and the benefits, risks and harms related to it, evolves and changes rapidly. Consequently, this policy is a live document that must be kept updated by the Head of School whenever there is a significant change to either Al use by the school or the associated risks of Al usage.

This policy will also be regularly reviewed and updated to align with emerging best practices, technological advancements and changes in regulations.

The policy will be shared with the full governing board at least annually.

All teaching staff are expected to read and follow this policy. The Head of School is responsible for ensuring that the policy is followed.

The Head of School will monitor the effectiveness of AI usage across the school.

We will ensure we keep members of the school community up to date on the use of AI technologies for educational purposes. As part of our regular surveys, feedback from pupils, parents/carers and staff will be considered in the ongoing evaluation and development of AI use in school.

12. Links with other policies

This AI policy is linked to our:

- > Data protection policy
- > Safeguarding/child protection policy
- > Behaviour policy
- > Staff code of conduct
- > Online safety policy
- > Equality policy

Appendix 1: Al tools designed for educational use

Note: Open-source AI tools (or 'open AI tools') – meaning those that anyone can access and modify – should only be used for tasks that do not require any personal information to be input. Staff must ensure all data shared with AI platforms complies with the school's data protection and safeguarding policies.

AI TOOL	SUITABLE FOR	POTENTIAL USES
KeyGPT (with school account)	School Leaders and Governors	Answering leadership and management questions
		Providing templates and example documents
		Interpreting and applying guidance
		Guidance through processes
		Supporting change management
Oak Academy Al lesson planner	Teachers	Lesson planning
MagicSchool AI	Teaching Staff	Lesson planning, resource generation,
		differentiation ideas (no personal data input)
Canva Al Tools	All Staff	Designing learning materials, certificates, and
		visual content (non-sensitive use only)
Microsoft Copilot (with school	Admin & Teaching Staff	Email drafting, meeting notes, document
account)		summarising (internal use only, GDPR compliant)